UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,847	03/10/2004	Anthony Levas	728-241	6373
	7590 12/23/200 L LAW FIRM - IBM	EXAMINER		
333 EARLE OV	VINGTON BOULEVA	JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,847	LEVAS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626		

	JAKIEDA R. JACKSON	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
<ul> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	11. See attached Notice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (l	·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.5-9.13-18 and 22-26.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that Kovesdi does not disclose receiving an input from the user, said input command containing information identifying a position in space from which a class was presented and presenting sub-class information of the class identified by said input command. Applicant further argues that Willins does not cure the deficiency. However, Willins teaches that based on the geographical position of the user and users orientation, the terminal plays an audio clip describing what the user is directly viewing. Further, as the user changes their head orientation and/or geographical position (position in space) the audio is continuously re-convolved with the respective impulse response function, taking the sound perceived by the user as continuously being emitted from the AEP (paragraphs 0020 and 0033-0036). Willins further provides and example that if a user is walking through a trade show exhibit hall and looks at a new car, based on the geographical coordinates and head orientation (class), an audio clip is presented (information identifying position in space), which provides an overview of the car. As the user walks closer to the car, the audio clip is changed and begins to described the car in detail (subclass)... (paragraph 0038). Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.